

**Supplementary Material**

**Enhancing strategic deployment of baiting transects for invasive species control – a case study for feral pig baiting in north-eastern Australia**

*Cameron Wilson<sup>A,B,\*</sup>, Matthew Gentle<sup>B,C</sup>, and Darren Marshall<sup>D</sup>*

<sup>A</sup>Animal Biosecurity and Welfare, Biosecurity Queensland, Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Bundaberg, Qld 4670, Australia.

<sup>B</sup>Pest Animal Research Centre, Biosecurity Queensland, Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Toowoomba, Qld 4350, Australia.

<sup>C</sup>School of Sciences, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Qld 4350, Australia.

<sup>D</sup>Southern Queensland Landscapes, Toowoomba, Qld 4350, Australia.

\*Correspondence to: Cameron Wilson Animal Biosecurity and Welfare, Biosecurity Queensland, Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Bundaberg, Qld, 4670, Australia Email: [cameron.wilson@daf.qld.gov.au](mailto:cameron.wilson@daf.qld.gov.au)

Table S1: Total length of baiting strategy transects, mean transect length (km) and mean transect proportion of total length within Arcadia pigs' home and core ranges. Standard error (SE) in parentheses.

Site	Distribution		Baiting Strategy		Total transect length (km)	Mean transect length within individual home range	Mean transect percent within individual home range	Mean transect length within individual core range	Mean transect percent within individual core range	Percent of all home ranges crossed	Percent of all core ranges crossed	
	Method	Mechanism	Placement	Spacing								
Arcadia	Aerial	Fixed-wing	Systematic-spaced	500 m	1416 km	22.6 (3.9) km	1.6 (0.3) %	2 (0.5) km	0.1 (0) %	100 %	90.3 %	
				1 km	708 km	11.3 (2) km	1.6 (0.3) %	1 (0.3) km	0.1 (0) %	100 %	87.1 %	
				2 km	354 km	5.4 (0.9) km	1.5 (0.3) %	0.5 (0.2) km	0.1 (0) %	100 %	67.7 %	
				3 km	240 km	4 (0.7) km	1.7 (0.3) %	0.3 (0.1) km	0.1 (0) %	96.8 %	48.4 %	
				4 km	183 km	3 (0.6) km	1.6 (0.3) %	0.3 (0.1) km	0.2 (0.1) %	90.3 %	58.1 %	
				5 km	148 km	2.3 (0.5) km	1.5 (0.3) %	0.2 (0.1) km	0.1 (0) %	90.3 %	41.9 %	
				10 km	72 km	0.9 (0.3) km	1.3 (0.4) %	0.1 (0) km	0.1 (0.1) %	64.5 %	19.4 %	
			Watercourses		212 km	4.7 (0.8) km	2.2 (0.4) %	0.4 (0.1) km	0.2 (0) %	96.8 %	67.7 %	
			Rotary	Systematic-spaced	500 m	1671 km	26.9 (4.5) km	1.6 (0.3) %	2.3 (0.5) km	0.1 (0) %	100 %	93.5 %
					1 km	831 km	13.3 (2.2) km	1.6 (0.3) %	1.1 (0.3) km	0.1 (0) %	100 %	90.3 %
					2 km	412 km	6.4 (1.1) km	1.6 (0.3) %	0.5 (0.2) km	0.1 (0) %	100 %	67.7 %
					3 km	281 km	4.6 (0.7) km	1.7 (0.3) %	0.3 (0.1) km	0.1 (0) %	96.8 %	54.8 %
					4 km	208 km	3.4 (0.6) km	1.7 (0.3) %	0.3 (0.1) km	0.2 (0) %	96.8 %	58.1 %
	5 km	170 km			2.7 (0.6) km	1.6 (0.3) %	0.2 (0.1) km	0.1 (0) %	93.5 %	41.9 %		
	10 km		83 km	1.2 (0.3) km	1.4 (0.4) %	0.1 (0) km	0.1 (0) %	67.7 %	19.4 %			
Watercourses		250 km	5.5 (0.9) km	2.2 (0.3) %	0.5 (0.1) km	0.2 (0) %	96.8 %	74.2 %				
Ground		Farm tracks		395 km	8.1 (1.7) km	2 (0.4) %	0.7 (0.2) km	0.2 (0) %	80.6 %	58.1 %		
		Property boundaries		279 km	3.8 (0.9) km	1.4 (0.3) %	0.3 (0.1) km	0.1 (0) %	80.6 %	41.9 %		

Table S2: Total length of baiting strategy transects, mean transect length (km) and mean transect proportion of total length within Downfall pigs' home and core ranges. Standard error (SE) in parentheses.

Site	Distribution		Baiting Strategy		Total transect length	Mean transect length within home range	Mean transect percent within home	Mean transect length within core range	Mean transect percent within core	Percent of home ranges crossed	Percent of core ranges crossed
	Method	Mechanism	Placement	Spacing							
Downfall	Aerial		Fixed-wing	500 m	1152 km	20.2 (3.6) km	1.8 (0.3) %	2 (0.5) km	0.2 (0) %	100 %	100 %
				1 km	573 km	9.8 (1.8) km	1.7 (0.3) %	1 (0.2) km	0.2 (0) %	100 %	100 %
				2 km	282 km	4.3 (1) km	1.5 (0.3) %	0.4 (0.2) km	0.1 (0.1) %	100 %	72.7 %
				3 km	196 km	3.6 (0.7) km	1.9 (0.3) %	0.2 (0.1) km	0.1 (0) %	90.9 %	90.9 %
				4 km	144 km	2.1 (0.5) km	1.4 (0.4) %	0.2 (0.1) km	0.1 (0) %	100 %	72.7 %
				5 km	114 km	2.3 (0.3) km	2 (0.3) %	0.2 (0.1) km	0.2 (0.1) %	100 %	90.9 %
				10 km	57 km	1.1 (0.3) km	2 (0.5) %	0.1 (0.1) km	0.2 (0.1) %	72.7 %	54.5 %
			Watercourses	165 km	4.7 (1.4) km	2.8 (0.8) %	0.9 (0.4) km	0.5 (0.2) %	90.9 %	81.8 %	
			Rotary	500 m	1295 km	22.3 (3.8) km	1.7 (0.3) %	2.2 (0.5) km	0.2 (0) %	100 %	100 %
				1 km	646 km	10.9 (1.9) km	1.7 (0.3) %	1.1 (0.2) km	0.2 (0) %	100 %	100 %
				2 km	321 km	5 (1) km	1.6 (0.3) %	0.4 (0.2) km	0.1 (0.1) %	100 %	72.7 %
				3 km	219 km	4 (0.7) km	1.9 (0.3) %	0.3 (0.1) km	0.1 (0) %	90.9 %	90.9 %
				4 km	162 km	2.3 (0.6) km	1.4 (0.3) %	0.2 (0.1) km	0.1 (0) %	100 %	72.7 %
				5 km	127 km	2.5 (0.4) km	2 (0.3) %	0.3 (0.1) km	0.2 (0.1) %	100 %	90.9 %
	10 km	62 km		1.2 (0.3) km	2 (0.5) %	0.2 (0.1) km	0.3 (0.1) %	81.8 %	54.5 %		
Watercourses	200 km	5.6 (1.4) km	2.8 (0.7) %	1.1 (0.4) km	0.5 (0.2) %	90.9 %	90.9 %				
Ground		Farm tracks	204 km	2.2 (0.8) km	1.1 (0.4) %	0.1 (0.1) km	0.1 (0) %	100 %	45.5 %		
		Property boundaries	403 km	5.9 (1.5) km	1.5 (0.4) %	1 (0.5) km	0.3 (0.1) %	90.9 %	63.6 %		

Table S3: Estimated cost of various baiting strategies, incorporating the cost of bait (meat and 1080), and the cost of bait dispersal (vehicle expenses and labour to disperse baits). Note: labour expense may appear low, as time to prepare baits, along with ferrying to transects and between transects was omitted. Labour expense displayed here is relative to vehicle speed, total transect length and minimum wage of a single operator at \$21.38 hr<sup>-1</sup> (Fair Work Ombudsman 2023). Cessna 206 (C206); Cessna 182 (C182); Bell Jet Ranger (Jet Ranger); Robinson R44 (R44).

Distribution		Baiting Strategy		Total transect length (km)	Est. no. of baits	Bait \$	Cost of dispersal		Total	
Method	Vehicle	Placement	Spacing				Vehicle	Labour		
Aerial	C206	Systematic-spaced	500 m	1347.2	13,472	\$52,406	\$4,693	\$135	\$57,235	
			1 km	672.6	6,726	\$26,164	\$2,343	\$68	\$28,575	
			2 km	335.0	3,350	\$13,032	\$1,167	\$34	\$14,233	
			3 km	228.1	2,281	\$8,872	\$795	\$23	\$9,690	
			4 km	173.3	1,733	\$6,740	\$604	\$17	\$7,361	
			5 km	139.1	1,391	\$5,410	\$484	\$14	\$5,909	
			10 km	68.2	682	\$2,654	\$238	\$7	\$2,898	
			Watercourses		199.6	1,996	\$7,766	\$695	\$20	\$8,481
			C182	Systematic-spaced	500 m	1347.2	13,472	\$52,406	\$4,596	\$141
	1 km	672.6			6,726	\$26,164	\$2,295	\$70	\$28,529	
	2 km	335.0			3,350	\$13,032	\$1,143	\$35	\$14,210	
	3 km	228.1			2,281	\$8,872	\$778	\$24	\$9,674	
	4 km	173.3			1,733	\$6,740	\$591	\$18	\$7,349	
	5 km	139.1			1,391	\$5,410	\$475	\$15	\$5,899	
	10 km	68.2			682	\$2,654	\$233	\$7	\$2,894	
	Watercourses				199.6	1,996	\$7,766	\$681	\$21	\$8,468
	Jet Ranger	Systematic-spaced			500 m	1572.1	15,721	\$61,155	\$29,477	\$420
			1 km	782.8	7,828	\$30,452	\$14,678	\$209	\$45,339	
			2 km	388.3	3,883	\$15,104	\$7,280	\$104	\$22,489	
			3 km	264.4	2,644	\$10,284	\$4,957	\$71	\$15,312	
			4 km	195.8	1,958	\$7,615	\$3,671	\$52	\$11,338	
			5 km	158.8	1,588	\$6,175	\$2,977	\$42	\$9,194	
			10 km	77.3	773	\$3,007	\$1,450	\$21	\$4,478	
			Watercourses		237.3	2,373	\$9,230	\$4,449	\$63	\$13,742
R44			Systematic-	500 m	1572.1	15,721	\$61,155	\$21,715	\$420	\$83,289

Ground	Car / Ute	spaced	1 km	782.8	7,828	\$30,452	\$10,813	\$209	\$41,474
			2 km	388.3	3,883	\$15,104	\$5,363	\$104	\$20,572
			3 km	264.4	2,644	\$10,284	\$3,652	\$71	\$14,007
			4 km	195.8	1,958	\$7,615	\$2,704	\$52	\$10,372
			5 km	158.8	1,588	\$6,175	\$2,193	\$42	\$8,411
			10 km	77.3	773	\$3,007	\$1,068	\$21	\$4,096
		Watercourses	237.3	2,373	\$9,230	\$3,277	\$63	\$12,571	
		Farm tracks	344.8	3,448	\$13,413	\$269	\$491	\$14,173	
		Property boundaries	311.7	3,117	\$12,126	\$243	\$444	\$12,813	

## References

Fair Work Ombudsman (2023) Minimum wages. <https://www.fairwork.gov.au/tools-and-resources/fact-sheets/minimum-workplace-entitlements/minimum-wages> [Accessed 6th June 2022]