1	Comparison of the indirect haemagglutination and gel
2	diffusion test for serotyping Haemophilus parasuis
3	
4	C. Turni* and P.J. Blackall
5	
6	Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries Queensland, Animal Research
7	Institute, Yeerongpilly, Queensland 4105, Australia
8	
9	Running Headline
10	IHA and GD for serotyping H. parasuis.
11	
12	Address for correspondence
13	Conny Turni, Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries Queensland, Animal
14	Research Institute, Locked Mail Bag No 4, Moorooka, QLD 4105, Australia
15	Phone: +61 7 3362 9527
16	Fax: +61 7 3362 9429
17	e-mail: <u>Conny.Turni@dpi.qld.gov.au</u>
18	

20	The aim of this study was to compare the use of indirect haemagglutination (IHA) and
21	gel diffusion (GD) tests for serotyping Haemophilus parasuis by the Kielstein-Rapp-
22	Gabrielson scheme. All 15 serovar reference strains, 72 Australian field isolates, nine
23	Chinese field isolates, and seven isolates from seven experimentally infected pigs were
24	evaluated with both tests. With the IHA test, 14 of the 15 reference strains were correctly
25	serotyped – with serovar 10 failing to give a titre with serovar 10 antiserum. In the GD test,
26	13 reference strains were correctly serotyped – with antigen from serovars 7 and 8 failing to
27	react with any antiserum. The IHA methodology serotyped a total of 45 of 81 field isolates
28	while the GD methodology serotyped a total of 48 isolates. For 29 isolates, the GD and IHA
29	methods gave discordant results. It was concluded that the IHA is a good additional test for
30	the serotyping of <i>H. parasuis</i> by the KRG scheme if the GD methodology fails to provide a
31	result or shows unusual cross-reactions.
32	
33	Keywords:

Hemophilus parasuis, indirect haemagglutination, IHA, gel diffusion, GD, serotyping
35
36

38 **1. Introduction**

H. parasuis is the causative agent of Glässer's disease, porcine polyserositis and 39 arthritis, which is a significant disease in the pork industry worldwide (Hill et al., 2003; 40 Oliveira et al., 2003). It is believed that stress factors, such as transport, unfavourable 41 42 environment and management conditions, are involved in *H. parasuis* breaching nasopharyngeal barriers and infecting systemically (Nicolet, 1986). So far 15 serovars of H. 43 parasuis have been recognized (Rafiee and Blackall, 2000). The existence of non-typable 44 isolates (40% of isolates in some studies) indicates that there might be more than the 15 45 serovars (Rafiee and Blackall, 2000). Of the 15 known serovars, serovars 1, 5, 10, 12, 13, and 46 14 have been shown to be highly virulent causing death or moribundity within four days, 47 serovars 2, 4, and 15 were moderately virulent causing polyserositis, but not death, serovar 8 48 49 was considered to be of mild virulence resulting in mild symptoms and lesions, while serovars 3, 6, 7, 9, and 11 were avirulent causing no clinical symptoms or lesions (Kielstein and Rapp-50 51 Gabrielson, 1992). However, research to establish virulence markers and link outer membrane proteins and DNA profiles with virulence is still inconclusive (Ruiz et al., 2001; 52 Hill et al., 2003). 53

54

There are several schemes that have been proposed for serotyping *H. parasuis*, with the 55 Kielstein-Rapp-Gabrielson (KRG) (Kielstein and Rapp-Gabrielson, 1992) scheme (originally 56 based on heat stable antigens tested by agar gel precipitation) being the internationally 57 recognized standard scheme (del Rio et al., 2003). Recently two studies (del Rio et al., 2003; 58 Tadjine et al., 2004) have independently proposed the use of the Indirect Haemagglutination 59 (IHA) test in place of the gel diffusion (GD) test for the performance of KRG serotyping of H. 60 *parasuis*. To evaluate the performance of the IHA methodology, the results of serotyping by 61 the IHA test were compared with results obtained by the GD test for the 15 serovar reference 62

63	strains (against which the antisera were raised) and for 72 Australian, nine Chinese field
64	isolates of <i>H. parasuis</i> and 7 serovar 12 isolates from experimentally infected pigs.
65	
66	2. Materials and methods
67	2.1 Bacteria
68	Reference strains for <i>H. parasuis</i> serovars 1 to 15 are stored at the Microbiology
69	Research Laboratory at the Animal Research Institute (ARI), Yeerongpilly, Queensland
70	(Table 1). A total of 72 Australian and nine Chinese field isolates of <i>H. parasuis</i> were also
71	used in this study. A further seven isolates, each obtained from a different pig, with all seven
72	pigs having been challenged with the serovar 12 reference strain, were also examined.
73	
74	2.2 Antisera
75	The antisera used in this work have been produced and described previously (Rafiee
76	and Blackall, 2000).
77	
78	For the IHA test, the antisera were adsorbed with 3% sheep red blood cells (SRBCs).
79	SRBCs were prepared by centrifugation of sheep blood at 250 x g for 10 min. The serum and
80	white blood cell layer were removed and the SRBCs were re-suspended in phosphate buffered
81	saline (PBS, pH 7.2) and centrifuged again. After a further two washes, the SRBCs were
82	resuspended to a final concentration of 3% (600 μl cells in 19.4 ml PBS). The antisera were
83	incubated in a 56°C water bath for 30 minutes. After incubation, 800 μ l of serum was added
84	to 3.2 ml of 3% SRBCs, followed by 30 min incubation at 37°C. The adsorbed serum was
85	then centrifuged at 500 x g for 10 minutes and the resulting supernatant used in the IHA test.
86	
87	2.3 Antigen

88	For the GD method, antigen was produced as previously described (Rafiee and
89	Blackall, 2000). The <i>H. parasuis</i> strain was grown on a TM/SN plate (Reid and Blackall,
90	1984) overnight at 37°C and harvested into 1 ml of nutrient broth. This suspension was then
91	used to spread inoculate two TM/SN plates and a blood agar plate. After overnight incubation
92	the growth from each TM/SN plate was harvested into 1.5 ml PBS, after checking purity on
93	the blood agar plate. The suspensions were pooled and autoclaved for 2 hr at 121°C. After
94	autoclaving, the suspension was centrifuged (17570 x g, 15 min) and the supernatant retained
95	as the antigen to be used in the GD test. The antigen was kept at 4°C until used.
96	
97	For the IHA method, <i>H. parasuis</i> grown on a TM/SN plates overnight at 37°C was
98	harvested into 1 ml nutrient broth and spread inoculated onto one TM/SN plate. The growth
99	was harvested into 1 ml saline. After centrifuging (17570 x g, 2 min) the pellet was
100	resuspended in 9 volumes of saline. The suspension was kept at room temperature overnight,
101	before spinning at 8,000x g for 10 min. The collected supernatant was stored at -20°C until
102	used.
103	
104	2.4 GD test
105	This test was performed as described by Rafiee and Blackall (2000). Briefly, wells
106	were punched into a 1% agar gel prepared in PBS containing 1% sodium azide. Volumes of 8
107	μ l of the respective antisera and antigen were added to the wells and incubated in a moist,
108	dark environment for a maximum of 72 hr at room temperature. Gels were checked for
109	precipitating bands at 24, 48 and 72 hr.
110	

111 2.5 Indirect Haemagglutination Test

A mixture of 300 µl of antigen, 2.7 ml of PBS and 3,000 µl of 3% SRBC was 112 incubated for 90 min at 37°C with gentle inversion of the tubes every 15 min. The sensitized 113 SRBCs were washed twice with PBS, centrifuging at 500 g for 10 min. The cell pellet was 114 then resuspended into a final volume of 13 ml using PBS. A 50 µl aliquot of PBS was added 115 to all wells of a round-bottomed microtitre plate. Next, 50 µl of absorbed antisera was added 116 117 to the first column of the plates and then serially diluted across the wells. Then 50 µl of antigen absorbed SRBC was added to each well. The controls were unsensitized SRBC and 118 119 sensitized SRBC only. After 2 hr incubation at room temperature the results were read. The titre for each antiserum was expressed as the reciprocal of the highest dilution of serum 120 displaying a positive reaction. If an isolate showed reactions to more than one antiserum, the 121 isolate was assigned to the serovar with the highest titre, provided there was three dilutions or 122 more difference in the titres. Where reactions were within two dilutions or less, then the 123 124 isolate was recorded as cross-reacting with those antisera.

125

126

127 **3. Results**

With the GD testing of the reference strains, no band was detected for the homologous reaction for serovars 7 and 8. All other serovar reference strains gave a single band with the corresponding antiserum. Serovar 1, 10 and 15 resulted in weak bands, while serovar 3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13 and 14 gave strong bands. The bands for serovar 2, 9 and 11 were even stronger.

132

The IHA testing of the reference strains resulted in high titres for homologous
antigens and antisera. Serovar 1 and 11 showed a two-way cross-reaction, with the crossreacting serovar being at a lower titre (Table 1). The level of cross-reaction with the serovar 1

antigen was such that, using the three dilution or greater rule, it was not possible to assign the
serovar 1 strain to serovar 1. The lower level cross-reaction with the serovar 11 antigen
meant that the serovar 11 reference strain could be assigned to serovar 11. The serovar 12
antiserum cross-reacted with the serovar 5 antigen, but this cross-reaction was one-way and
sufficiently low enough to confidently assign the serovar 5 strain to serovar 5. The antigen of
the reference strain for serovar 10 did not give a titre with the serovar 10 antiserum.

142

With the GD test, 44 field isolates showed only one band and four isolates gave multiple bands (Table 2). Three of the four isolates that yielded a strong band with the serovar 1 antiserum also showed a cross-reaction with serovar 11, with the band for serovar 146 11 being very weak. The only other isolate that showed a cross-reaction in the GD test was an 147 isolate that gave a strong band to the serovar 4 antiserum as well as weaker bands for the 148 antisera to serovars12, 14, and 15. A total of 33 of the 81 isolates were non-typable in the GD 149 – giving no band with any of the 15 antisera.

150

With the IHA test, 36 isolates could be confidently assigned to a serovar (Table 2). A further 9 isolates gave cross-reactions that prevented assignment to a single serovar – four being cross-reactive with antisera to serovars 1 and 11, four being cross-reactive with antisera to serovars 8 and 9, and one being cross-reactive with antisera to serovars 5 and 12. A total of 36 isolates were nontypable in the IHA – failing to give any reaction with any of the 15 antisera.

157

By combining both the GD and the IHA results, only 21 isolates remained non-typable (ie failed to react with any antiserum in either test). The combined approach resulted in 49 isolates being confidently assigned to a serovar with eleven isolates being unable to be

assigned to a serovar due to cross-reactions in either the IHA (less than three dilutions 161 difference in the titres) or GD tests. These eleven isolates consisted of four isolates that were 162 163 strongly cross-reactive with serovars 1 and 11 in the IHA (two also being cross-reactive in GD), four that were strongly cross-reactive with serovars 8 and 9 in the IHA (and non-typable 164 in the GD), one that was strongly cross-reactive with serovars 5 and 12 in the IHA (identified 165 as serovar 12 in the GD test), one that was cross-reactive with serovar 1 and 11 in the GD 166 167 (identified as serovar 1 in IHA), and one that was cross-reactive with serovar 4, 12, 14, and 15 in the GD (identified as serovar 4 in the IHA) (Table 2). 168 169 Marked differences between the IHA and GD results were recorded for field isolates 170 assigned to serovars 4, 5, 12, and 13 by the GD test. Two of the 21 serovar 4 isolates 171 identified by GD were non-typable in the IHA (Table 2). Similarly, nine of the 14 serovar 5, 172 three of the serovar 13 and the only serovar 14 isolate were non-typable in the IHA test (Table 173 2). 174 175 The results of testing the seven serovar 12 isolates obtained from the experimentally 176 infected pigs are shown in Table 3. In the GD test, all seven isolates reacted specifically with 177 the antiserum for serovar 12. In the IHA test, all seven isolates showed reactions to both 178

serovars 5 and 12. However, all seven isolates could be confidently assigned to serovar 12 on

180 the basis of a difference of at least three dilutions in the IHA titres (Table 3). There was

181 evidence of some variation – with the serovar 12 IHA titres varying between 1/1,280 and

1/640 while the serovar 5 titres varied between 1/40 and 1/160 (Table 3).

183

184

186 **4. Discussion**

The current study produced different results to the study by Rafiee and Blackall 187 (2000), despite both studies being done in the same laboratory and having used the same 188 serovar reference strains and antiserum. Contrary to Rafiee and Blackall (2000), the current 189 190 study could not detect specific reactions between the serovar 7 and 8 antiserum and their respective antigen. Difficulties in producing acceptable antiserum levels for serovar 7 and 8 191 had been reported by Rafiee and Blackall (2000) and Tadjine et al. (2004). These difficulties 192 in both production and lack of homologous reaction in the GD test may reflect a lack, or a low 193 level expression of the serovar-specific antigens, which in turn might be attributed to storage 194 and in vitro passage (Kielstein and Rapp-Gabrielson, 1992; Prakash et al., 2003). On the 195 196 other hand, in the IHA test both antisera 7 and 8 gave a homologous reaction in the current 197 study. Serovar 7 isolates from the field also showed no reaction in the GD test, while the IHA showed a specific reaction, possible due to the IHA tests greater sensitivity (Tizard, 198 199 2000). Cross-reactions in GD between serovar 14 and 4 (Rafiee and Blackall, 2000) and serovar 5 and 1 (Tadjine et al., 2004), were not observed in the current study. Tadjine et al. 200 (2004) used boiled instead of autoclaved extracts. 201

202

In the current study serovar 5 showed a one-way cross-reaction with serovar 12, while 203 serovars 1 and 11 showed a two-way cross-reaction in the IHA test. However, only serovar 1 204 had a true cross-reaction, as the homologous antibody titres in the other cross-reactions were 3 205 or more twofold dilutions apart. Contrary to del Rio et al. (2003) one-way cross-reactions in 206 the IHA for serovar 10 and 13 were not observed in the current study. The homologous 207 reaction for serovar 10, as found by del Rio et al. (2003) and Tadjine et al. (2004), was also 208 not detected in the IHA. However, neither del Rio et al. (2003) nor Tadjine et al. (2004) 209 provided sufficient information to identify the actual serovar reference strains used. 210

Tadjine et al. (2004) reported extensive cross reactions in their use of the GD test with 212 213 field isolates. Other studies using autoclaved antigen in the GD test have not reported extensive cross-reactions (Blackall et al., 1996; Rafiee and Blackall, 2000). In the current 214 study some cross reactions in the GD test with a few field isolates were detected. Cross-215 reactions with serovars 1 and 11 were detected in both GD and IHA. The isolate of serovar 4 216 217 that cross-reacted with three other serovars (12, 14, and 15) in the GD test obviously had a different profile for those antigens detected in the IHA, as no cross-reaction was detected in 218 219 this assay.

220

211

The current study found only minimal cross-reactions when the IHA test was used on 221 field isolates, a finding also reported by the previous IHA evaluation studies (del Rio et al., 222 2003; Tadjine et al., 2004). Cross-reactions that were predicted by the reference strain results 223 were detected (4 isolates showed 1 and 11 and 1 isolate 5 and 12 cross-reactions). These 224 cross-reactions were not reported by del Rio et al. (2003) and Tadjine et al. (2004). The other 225 cross-reactions found amongst the field isolates in the current study involved 4 isolates that 226 were cross-reactive with serovars 8 and 9 in the IHA and non-typable in the GD. As the titres 227 of these four isolates with both the serovar 8 and 9 antisera were low, it is possible that these 228 isolates actually represent a new serovar that has some low level cross-reaction with serovars 229 230 8 and 9.

231

It was found that passaging the reference strain serovar 12 *in vivo* did not alter the results of the IHA. Two of the passaged serovar 12 isolates had a lower titre than the other isolates, indicating that there is slight variability in resulting titres.

235

A major difference between the current study and the previous studies were the 236 number of isolates that could not be serotyped by IHA. The current study found 36 (44%) 237 field isolates could not be serotyped by IHA, while 33 (41%) field isolates were non-typable 238 by GD. In contrast, del Rio et al. (2003) reported that 37% of the field isolates from Spain 239 were non-typable by GD, while only 7% were non-typable by IHA. Tadjine et al. (2004) 240 reported less than 10% of the field strains from North America could not be typed with the 241 IHA, while more than 30% could not be typed with the GD. An important finding of the 242 current study was that some field isolates of serovars 4 and 5, and all field isolates of serovar 243 244 13 and 14, could not be identified by IHA but were identified by GD. The finding of the current study that some field isolates of serovars 4 and 5 could be identified by the IHA test 245 while others could not, suggests that there are differences in the antigenic profiles of these 246 serovars. Previous studies have found that genetic variation occurs between isolates of the 247 same serovar (Blackall et al., 1997; Oliveira and Pijoan, 2004). 248

249

Overall conclusions from this study differ from those reached by the previous evaluations of the IHA methodology for serotyping *H. parasuis* (del Rio et al., 2003; Tadjine et al., 2004). These previous studies have both recommended the use of the IHA methodology above the GD methodology. Results of the current study demonstrate that the GD method can be used as the primary serotyping method with the IHA being used as a secondary test that can be used when the GD test gives either a non-typable result or crossreactions.

- 257
- 258
- 259
- 260

262	We would like to acknowledge A. DeJong and J. Tumamao for their skilled technical
263	assistance.
264	
265	
266	6. References
267	Blackall, P.J., Rapp-Gabrielson, V.J., Hampson, D.J., 1996. Serological characterisation of
268	Haemophilus parasuis isolates from Australian pigs. Aust. Vet. J. 73, 93 - 95.
269	Blackall, P.J., Trott, D.J., Rapp-Gabrielson, V., Hampson, D.J., 1997. Analysis of
270	Haemophilus parasuis by multilocus enzyme electrophoresis. Vet. Microbiol. 56, 125
271	-134.
272	del Rio, M.L., Gutierrez, C.B., Rodriguez Ferri, E.F., 2003. Value of indirect
273	hemagglutination and coagglutination tests for serotyping Haemophilus parasuis. J.
274	Clin. Microbiol. 41, 880 - 882.
275	Hill, C.E., Metcalf, D.S., MacInnes, J.I., 2003. A search for virulence genes of Haemophilus
276	parasuis using differential display RT-PCR. Vet. Microbiol. 96, 189-202.
277	Kielstein, P., Rapp-Gabrielson, V.J., 1992. Designation of 15 serovars of Haemophilus
278	parasuis on the basis of immunodiffusion using heat-stable antigen extracts. J. Clin.
279	Microbiol. 30, 862 - 865.
280	Nicolet, J., 1986. Haemophilus infection. In: A.D. Leman, B.Straw., and R.D Glock (Ed.),
281	Disease of Swine, 6th ed, Iowa State University Press, Iowa, pp. 426 - 435.
282	Oliveira, S., Blackall, P.J., Pijoan, C., 2003. Characterization of the diversity of Haemophilus
283	parasuis field isolates by use of serotyping and genotyping. Am. J. Vet. Res. 64, 435 -
284	442.

5. Acknowledgements

261

- Oliveira, S., Pijoan, C., 2004. *Haemophilus parasuis*: new trends on diagnosis, epidemiology
 and control. Vet. Microbiol. 99, 1 12.
- Prakash, B., Veeregowda, G., Krishnappa, G., 2003. Biofilms: A survival strategy of bacteria.
 Current Sci. 85, 1299 1307.
- 289 Rafiee, M., Blackall, P.J., 2000. Establishment, validation and use of Kielstein-Rapp-
- 290 Gabrielson serotyping scheme for *Haemophilus parasuis*. Aus. Vet. J. 78, 173 -174.
- Reid, G.G., Blackall, P.J., 1984. Pathogenicity of Australian isolates of *Haemophilus paragallinarum* and *Haemophilus avium* in chickens. Vet. Microbiol. 9, 77 82.
- 293 Ruiz, A., Oliveira, S., Torremorell, M., Pijoan, C., 2001. Outer membrane proteins and DNA
- 294 profiles in strains of *Haemophilus parasuis* recovered from systemic and respiratory
- 295 sites. J. Clin. Microbiol. 39, 1757 1762.
- Tadjine, M., Mittal, K.R., Bourdon, S., Gottschalk, M., 2004. Development of a new
 serological test for serotyping *Haemophilus parasuis* isolates and determination of

their prevalence in North America. J. Clin. Microbiol. 24, 839 - 840.

- 299 Tizard, I.R., 2000. An introduction to veterinary immunology, 6th ed. W.B. Saunders
- 300 Company, Philadelphia, Pa, pp. 192.

TABLE 1. Results of the IHA with soluble antigen from the reference strains representing
 serovars 1 to 15 and rabbit hyperimmune sera produced against whole-cell antigens of these
 reference strains. Titres are expressed as the reciprocals of the final dilutions of serum giving
 positive reactions.

						An	tisera ı	aised a	against						
antigen	HS 145	SW 140	SW 114	SW 124	Nagasaki	131	HS 197	C5	D74	H367	H465	H425	IA84- 1797	IA84- 22113	SD84
00501/05													5		15995
(Poforonco															
strain)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	1280										320				
(HS 145) 2															
(SW 140)		2560													
3			5400												
(SW 114)			5120												
4				1280											
(SW 124)				1200											
5 (Nagasaki)					10240							320			
(Nagasaki) 6															
131						1280									
7							5400								
(197)							5120								
8								1280							
(C5)								1200							
9 (D74)									10240						
(D74) 10															
(H367)															
11															
(H465)	160										2560				
12												2560			
(H425)												2000			
13													2560		
(IA84- 17975)															
14															
(IA84-														2560	
22113)															
15															2560
(SD84- 15995)															
10000/															

							IHA re	sults					
GD results	number of samples	non-typable	1	1 + 11	2	3	4	5	7	8 + 9	12	12 + 5	13
non-typable	33	21		1			3		3	4			1
1	1			1									
1 + 11	3		1	2									
2	1				1								
3	1					1							
4	21	2					19						
5	14	9						5					
12	2										1	1	
4+12+14+15	1						1						
13	3	3											
14	1	1											
Total	81	36	1	4	1	1	23	5	3	4	1	1	1

309 TABLE 2. Results of GD compared to IHA typing for field isolates from Australia and China

- TABLE 3. Results from the 7 pigs challenged with serovar 12. Titres are expressed as the
 reciprocals of the final dilutions of serum giving positive reactions.

			IHA	
Isolates	GD result	Serovar 5 titre	Serovar 12 titre	result
HS 1928	12	80	1280	12
HS 1929	12	40	640	12
HS 1931	12	80	1280	12
HS 1932	12	160	1280	12
HS 1933	12	40	640	12
HS 1934	12	80	1280	12
HS 1935	12	160	1280	12