

33

POSTHARVEST DISEASES OF FRUIT AND VEGETABLES

Lindy Coates and Greg Johnson

Contents

33.1 Introduction	533
33.2 Causes of postharvest disease	534
33.3 Host physiological status	538
33.4 Mode of infection	539
33.5 Traditional strategies for postharvest disease control and prevention	541
Fungicides	541
Maintenance of host resistance to infection through manipulation of the postharvest environment	542
Hygiene practices	543
Preharvest factors	544
Prevention of injury.....	544
Heat treatments	544
Ionising radiation.....	545
33.6 Emerging technologies for postharvest disease control.....	545
Biological control.....	545
Constitutive and induced host resistance	546
Natural fungicides	546
33.7 Conclusions	547
33.8 Further reading	547

33.1 Introduction

Losses due to postharvest disease may occur at any time during postharvest handling, from harvest to consumption. When estimating postharvest disease losses, it is important to consider reductions in fruit quantity and quality, as some diseases may not render produce unsaleable yet still reduce product value. For example, blemished fruit may not be sold as fresh fruit but may still be suitable for processing, in which case, it brings a lower price. It is also important to take into account costs such as harvesting, packaging and transport when determining the value of produce lost as a result of postharvest wastage.

Aside from direct economic considerations, diseased produce poses a potential health risk. A number of fungal genera such as *Penicillium*, *Alternaria* and *Fusarium* are known to produce mycotoxins under certain conditions. Generally speaking, the greatest risk of mycotoxin contamination occurs when diseased produce is used in the production of processed food or animal feed. In most cases, fresh produce which is obviously diseased would not be consumed.

Losses due to postharvest disease are affected by a great number of factors including:

- commodity type
- cultivar susceptibility to postharvest disease

- the postharvest environment (temperature, relative humidity, atmosphere composition, etc.)
- produce maturity and ripeness stage
- treatments used for disease control
- produce handling methods
- postharvest hygiene.

These factors will be discussed in detail later in this chapter.

Virtually all postharvest diseases of fruit and vegetables are caused by fungi and bacteria. In some root crops and brassicas, viral infections present before harvest can sometimes develop more rapidly after harvest. In general, however, viruses are not an important cause of postharvest disease.

Postharvest diseases are often classified according to how infection is initiated. The so-called 'quiescent' or 'latent' infections are those where the pathogen initiates infection of the host at some point in time (usually before harvest), but then enters a period of inactivity or dormancy until the physiological status of the host tissue changes in such a way that infection can proceed. The dramatic physiological changes which occur during fruit ripening are often the trigger for reactivation of quiescent infections. Examples of postharvest diseases arising from quiescent infections include anthracnose of various tropical fruit caused by *Colletotrichum* spp. and grey mould of strawberry caused by *Botrytis cinerea*.

The other major group of postharvest diseases are those which arise from infections initiated during and after harvest. Often these infections occur through surface wounds created by mechanical or insect injury. Wounds need not be large for infection to take place and in many cases may be microscopic in size. Common postharvest diseases resulting from wound infections include blue and green mould (caused by *Penicillium* spp.) and transit rot (caused by *Rhizopus stolonifer*). Bacteria such as *Erwinia carotovora* (soft rot) are also common wound invaders. Many pathogens, such as the banana crown rot fungi, also gain entry through the injury created by severing the crop from the plant.

33.2 Causes of postharvest disease

Correct identification of the pathogen causing postharvest disease is central to the selection of an appropriate disease control strategy. Table 33.1 lists some common postharvest diseases and pathogens of fruit and vegetables.

Many of the fungi which cause postharvest disease belong to the phylum Ascomycota and the associated Fungi Anamorphici (Fungi Imperfecti). In the case of the Ascomycota, the asexual stage of fungus (the anamorph) is usually encountered more frequently in postharvest diseases than the sexual stage of the fungus (the teleomorph). Important genera of anamorphic postharvest pathogens include *Penicillium*, *Aspergillus*, *Geotrichum*, *Botrytis*, *Fusarium*, *Alternaria*, *Colletotrichum*, *Dothiorella*, *Lasiodiplodia* and *Phomopsis*. Some of these fungi also form ascomycete sexual stages.

In the phylum Oomycota, the genera *Phytophthora* and *Pythium* are important postharvest pathogens, causing a number of diseases such as brown rot in citrus (*Phytophthora citrophthora* and *P. parasitica*) and cottony leak of cucurbits (*Pythium* spp.). *Rhizopus* and *Mucor* are important genera of postharvest pathogens in the phylum Zygomycota. *R. stolonifer* is a common wound pathogen of a very wide range of fruit and vegetables, causing a rapidly spreading watery soft rot. Genera within the phylum Basidiomycota are generally not important causal agents of postharvest disease, although fungi such as *Sclerotium rolfsii* and *Rhizoctonia solani*, which have basidiomycete sexual stages, can cause significant postharvest losses of vegetable crops such as tomato and potato.

While diseases caused by these pathogens are primarily field diseases, the development of symptoms often accelerates after harvest.

The major causal agents of bacterial soft rots are various species of *Erwinia*, *Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus*, *Lactobacillus* and *Xanthomonas*. Bacterial soft rots are very important postharvest diseases of many vegetables, although they are generally of less importance in most fruit. This is because most fruit have a low pH which is inhibitory to the majority of bacterial plant pathogens.

Table 33.1 Examples of common postharvest diseases and pathogens of fruit and vegetables.

Disease	Pathogen	
	Anamorph	Teleomorph
Temperate fruit		
Pome Fruit		
Blue mould	<i>Penicillium</i> spp.	
Grey mould	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	<i>Botryotinia fuckeliana</i>
Bitter rot	<i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i>	<i>Glomerella cingulata</i>
Alternaria rot	<i>Alternaria</i> spp.	
Mucor rot		<i>Mucor piriformis</i>
Stone Fruit		
Brown rot	<i>Monilia</i> spp.	<i>Monilinia fructicola</i> (syn. <i>Sclerotinia fructicola</i>)
Rhizopus rot		<i>Rhizopus</i> spp. (mostly <i>R. stolonifer</i>)
Grey mould	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	<i>Botryotinia fuckeliana</i>
Blue mould	<i>Penicillium</i> spp.	
Alternaria rot	<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	
Grapes		
Grey mould	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	<i>Botryotinia fuckeliana</i>
Blue mould	<i>Penicillium</i> spp.	
Rhizopus rot		<i>Rhizopus</i> spp.
Berries		
Grey mould	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	<i>Botryotinia fuckeliana</i>
Rhizopus rot		<i>Rhizopus</i> spp.
Cladosporium rot	<i>Cladosporium</i> spp.	
Blue mould	<i>Penicillium</i> spp.	
Subtropical fruit		
Citrus Fruit		
Blue mould	<i>Penicillium italicum</i>	
Green mould	<i>Penicillium digitatum</i>	
Black centre rot	<i>Alternaria citri</i>	
Stem end rot	<i>Phomopsis citri</i>	<i>Diaporthe citri</i>
Brown rot		<i>Phytophthora citrophthora</i> and/or <i>P. parasitica</i>

Table 33.1 (continued)

Disease	Pathogen	
	Anamorph	Teleomorph
Avocado		
Anthracnose	<i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> <i>C. acutatum</i>	<i>Glomerella cingulata</i>
Stem end rot	<i>Dothiorella</i> spp. <i>Lasiodiplodia theobromae</i> <i>Stilbella cinnabarina</i> <i>Phomopsis perseae</i>	<i>Botryosphaeria</i> spp. <i>Thyronectria pseudotrichia</i>
Bacterial soft rot	<i>Erwinia carotovora</i>	
Tropical fruit		
Banana		
Anthracnose	<i>Colletotrichum musae</i>	
Crown rot	Various fungi including <i>Fusarium</i> spp., <i>Verticillium</i> spp., <i>Acremonium</i> sp. and <i>Colletotrichum musae</i>	
Black end	Various fungi including <i>Colletotrichum musae</i> , <i>Nigrospora sphaerica</i> and <i>Fusarium</i> spp.	
Ceratocystis fruit rot	<i>Thielaviopsis paradoxa</i>	<i>Ceratocystis paradoxa</i>
Mango		
Anthracnose	<i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> <i>C. acutatum</i>	<i>Glomerella cingulata</i>
Stem end rot	<i>Dothiorella</i> spp. <i>Lasiodiplodia theobromae</i> <i>Phomopsis mangiferae</i> <i>Pestalotiopsis mangiferae</i>	<i>Botryosphaeria</i> spp.
Rhizopus rot		<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>
Black mould	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	
Alternaria rot	<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	
Grey mould	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	<i>Botryotinia fuckeliana</i>
Blue mould	<i>Penicillium expansum</i>	
Mucor rot		<i>Mucor circinelloides</i>
Pawpaw (Papaya)		
Anthracnose	<i>Colletotrichum</i> spp.	
Black rot	<i>Phoma caricae-papayae</i>	<i>Mycosphaerella caricae</i>
Phomopsis rot	<i>Phomopsis caricae-papayae</i>	
Rhizopus rot		<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>
Phytophthora fruit rot		<i>Phytophthora palmivora</i>
Pineapple		
Water blister	<i>Thielaviopsis paradoxa</i>	<i>Ceratocystis paradoxa</i>

Table 33.1 (continued)

Disease	Pathogen	
	Anamorph	Teleomorph
Fruitlet core rot	<i>Penicillium funiculosum</i> <i>Fusarium moniliforme</i> var <i>subglutinans</i>	<i>Gibberella fujikuroi</i> var <i>subglutinans</i>
Yeasty rot	<i>Saccharomyces</i> spp.	
Bacterial brown rot	<i>Erwinia ananas</i>	
Vegetables		
Cucurbits		
Bacterial soft rots	Various <i>Erwinia</i> spp., <i>Bacillus polymyxa</i> , <i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> , <i>Xanthomonas campestris</i>	
Grey mould	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	<i>Botryotinia fuckeliana</i>
Fusarium rot	<i>Fusarium</i> spp.	
Alternaria rot	<i>Alternaria</i> spp.	
Charcoal rot	<i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i>	
Cottony leak		<i>Pythium</i> spp.
Rhizopus rot		<i>Rhizopus</i> spp.
Tomato, Eggplant And Capsicum		
Bacterial soft rots	Various <i>Erwinia</i> spp., <i>Bacillus polymyxa</i> , <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. and <i>Xanthomonas campestris</i>	
Grey mould	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	<i>Botryotinia fuckeliana</i>
Fusarium rot	<i>Fusarium</i> spp.	
Alternaria rot	<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	
Cladosporium rot	<i>Cladosporium</i> spp.	
Rhizopus rot		<i>Rhizopus</i> spp.
Watery soft rot		<i>Sclerotinia</i> spp.
Cottony leak		<i>Pythium</i> spp.
Sclerotium rot	<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i> (sclerotial state)	<i>Athelia rolfsii</i>
Legumes		
Grey mould	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i> <i>B. fabae</i>	<i>Botryotinia fuckeliana</i>
White mould and Watery soft rot		<i>Sclerotinia</i> spp.
Cottony leak		<i>Pythium</i> spp.
Sclerotium rot	<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i> (sclerotial state)	<i>Athelia rolfsii</i>
Brassicac		
Bacterial soft rot	Various <i>Erwinia</i> spp. <i>Bacillus</i> spp. <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. and <i>Xanthomonas campestris</i>	
Grey mould	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	<i>Botryotinia fuckeliana</i>
Alternaria rot	<i>Alternaria</i> spp.	

Table 33.1 (continued)

Disease	Pathogen	
	Anamorph	Teleomorph
Watery soft rot		<i>Sclerotinia</i> spp.
Phytophthora rot		<i>Phytophthora porri</i>
Leafy Vegetables		
Bacterial soft rot	Various <i>Erwinia</i> spp. <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. and <i>Xanthomonas campestris</i>	
Grey mould	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	<i>Botryotinia fuckeliana</i>
Watery soft rot		<i>Sclerotinia</i> spp.
Onions		
Bacterial soft rot	Various <i>Erwinia</i> spp. <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp. and <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	
Black mould rot	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	
Fusarium basal rot	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f.sp. <i>cepae</i>	
Smudge	<i>Colletotrichum circinans</i>	
Carrots		
Bacterial soft rot	Various <i>Erwinia</i> spp. and <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	
Rhizopus rot		<i>Rhizopus</i> spp.
Grey mould	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	<i>Botryotinia fuckeliana</i>
Watery soft rot		<i>Sclerotinia</i> spp.
Sclerotium rot	<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i> (sclerotial state)	<i>Athelia rolfsii</i>
Chalara and Thielaviopsis rots	<i>Chalara thielavioides</i> <i>Thielaviopsis basicola</i>	
Potatoes		
Bacterial soft rot	<i>Erwinia</i> spp.	
Dry rot	<i>Fusarium</i> spp.	<i>Gibberella</i> spp.
Gangrene	<i>Phoma exigua</i> var <i>exigua</i> and var <i>foveata</i>	
Black scurf	<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> (sclerotial state)	<i>Thanatephorus cucumeris</i>
Silver scurf	<i>Helminthosporium solani</i>	
Skin spot	<i>Polyscytalum pustulans</i>	

33.3 Host physiological status

The development of postharvest disease is intimately associated with the physiological status of the host tissue. To create the right environment for minimising postharvest losses due to disease it is important to understand the physiological changes that occur after produce is harvested.

All plant organs undergo the physiological processes of growth, development and senescence. Growth and development generally only occur while the organ is attached to the plant (with the exception of seed germination and sprouting of storage organs), but senescence will occur regardless of whether the organ is

attached or not (albeit at different rates). When an organ such as a fruit is harvested from a plant, it continues to respire and transpire depleting both food reserves and water. Such changes ultimately lead to senescence. Treatments which slow respiration and water loss, such as cool storage, therefore help to delay senescence. Different commodity types vary greatly in the processes which precede senescence, making it difficult to draw general conclusions. For this reason, the following discussion will be limited to fruit.

'Maturity' is a term often used in reference to fruit and is frequently confused with the term 'ripeness'. Put simply, physiological maturity is attained when the process of natural growth and development is complete. In practice, fruit are considered to be mature when they have reached a stage where, after harvesting and postharvest handling, they will ripen to an acceptable quality. In contrast, a ripe fruit is one which is ready to eat. The process of ripening basically signals the end of development and the beginning of senescence. It can involve a number of changes in the fruit, such as conversion of starch to sugars, increase in pH, increase in fruit softness, development of aromas, reduction in chlorophyll content and corresponding increase in carotenoid levels (yellow and orange pigments).

Fruit are often classified into two groups on the basis of how they ripen (Table 33.2). **Climacteric fruit** exhibit a pronounced increase in respiration and ethylene production coincidentally with ripening. Climacteric fruit can be harvested in an unripe state and providing they are sufficiently mature, will ripen to an acceptable quality. **Non-climacteric fruit** do not exhibit a rapid increase in respiration during the ripening process. The eating quality of non-climacteric fruit does not improve after harvest, although they may undergo some changes in colour development and softening. For this reason they should not be harvested until they are ready to eat.

33.4 Mode of infection

Infection of fruit and vegetables by postharvest pathogens can occur before, during or after harvest. Infections which occur before harvest and then remain quiescent until some point during ripening are particularly common amongst tropical fruit crops. Anthracnose, which is the most serious postharvest disease of a wide range of tropical and sub-tropical fruit such as mango, banana, pawpaw (papaya) and avocado, is an example of a disease arising from quiescent infections established prior to harvest. Anthracnose is also an important postharvest disease of a number of vegetables (e.g. bean) and temperate fruit (e.g. strawberry). Various species of *Colletotrichum* can cause anthracnose. Some species (e.g. *C. musae*) are host-specific, whereas others can attack a wide range of fruit and vegetables (e.g. *C. gloeosporioides*). The infection process begins when conidia germinate on the surface of host tissue to produce a germ tube and an appressorium. Although it is known that there is a quiescent phase in the life cycle of the fungus, it is not entirely clear whether the ungerminated or the germinated appressorium represents the quiescent stage, as different studies have reported conflicting results. It may be that the fungus behaves differently on different hosts, or perhaps some researchers have been unable to detect appressorial germination due to the limitations of the techniques used. In avocado for example, early studies reported that ungerminated appressoria were the quiescent phase of *C. gloeosporioides*. Studies conducted two decades later however showed that appressoria germinated to produce infection hyphae prior to the onset of quiescence. In any case, the fungus ceases growth soon after appressorium formation and remains in a quiescent state until fruit ripening

occurs. During ripening, the fungus resumes activity and colonises the fruit tissue, leading to the development of typical anthracnose symptoms.

Table 33.2 Classification of some common edible fruit according to respiratory behaviour during ripening.

Climacteric fruit	Non-climacteric fruit
apple	blackberry
apricot	carambola
avocado	cherry
banana	cucumber
blueberry	eggplant
custard apple	grape
guava	grapefruit
kiwi fruit	lemon
mango	lime
melon	longan
nectarine	lychee
pawpaw (papaya)	mandarin
passionfruit	orange
peach	peas
pear	pineapple
persimmon	raspberry
plum	strawberry
tomato	watermelon

Natural antifungal compounds present in fruit tissue may be involved in regulating the quiescence of *Colletotrichum* infections. In avocados, antifungal dienes are present in the peel of unripe fruit at concentrations inhibitory to *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, the avocado anthracnose pathogen. During ripening, levels of these dienes decline to sub-fungitoxic levels coincidentally with the development of anthracnose symptoms on fruit. Treatments which stimulate the production of diene compounds also delay symptom development, suggesting that these compounds have a role in regulating quiescence.

Grey mould of strawberry caused by *Botrytis cinerea* is another important postharvest disease sometimes arising from quiescent infections established before harvest. Conidia of *B. cinerea* on the surface of necrotic flower parts germinate in the presence of moisture. The fungus colonises the necrotic tissue and then remains quiescent in the base of the floral receptacle. Several months later when fruit are harvested, infections develop as a stem end rot in ripe fruit.

Many postharvest diseases develop from the stem end of fruit. The mode of infection involved in this group of diseases can however vary considerably. In the example of *B. cinerea*, lesions occurring at the stem end of fruit arise from quiescent floral infections. Stem end rots of citrus caused by *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* and *Phomopsis citri* result from quiescent infections in the stem button of fruit. These infections can be initiated at any stage of fruit development, and remain quiescent until the button begins to separate from the fruit during abscission. In other stem end rot diseases, infection occurs during and after harvest through the wound created by severing the fruit from the plant (e.g. banana crown rot). Recent studies have brought attention to another important mode of infection involved in the development of some stem end rot diseases. Endophytic infection, whereby the fungus symptomlessly and systemically colonises the stem, inflorescence and fruit pedicel tissue, is important in a number of stem end rot diseases of tropical fruit. Mango stem end rot caused by *Dothiorella dominicana* is one example of a postharvest disease arising from

endophytic colonisation of fruit pedicel tissue. In this case, the fungus colonises the pedicel and stem end tissue of unripe fruit, where it remains quiescent until fruit ripening commences.

A considerable number of postharvest diseases develop from infections which occur shortly before harvest. Such infections may not be visible at the time of harvest, yet at the same time are not necessarily inactive. Symptoms may develop more rapidly after harvest, particularly if storage conditions favour pathogen development. Examples of postharvest diseases which can arise from late season infections include brown rot of peach (caused by *Monilinia fructicola*), grey mould of grape (caused by *Botrytis cinerea*), yeasty rot of tomato (caused by *Geotrichum candidum*) and sclerotium rot of various vegetables (caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii*).

Many common postharvest pathogens are unable to directly penetrate the host cuticle. Such pathogens therefore infect through surface injuries or natural openings such as stomata and lenticels. Injuries can vary in size from microscopic to clearly visible and may arise in a number of ways. Mechanical injuries such as cuts, abrasions, pressure damage and impact damage commonly occur during harvesting and handling. Insect injuries may occur before harvest yet remain undetected at the time of grading, providing ideal infection sites for many postharvest pathogens. Infection of lychee fruit by yeasts commonly occurs through insect injuries which are difficult to see at harvest. Some chemical treatments used after harvest, such as fumigants used in insect disinfection and disinfectants such as chlorine, may also injure produce if applied incorrectly. Various types of physiological injury such as chilling and heat injury can predispose produce to infection by postharvest pathogens. Tropical fruit in particular are very sensitive to low temperatures, many developing symptoms of chilling injury below 13°C (depending on storage duration). Symptoms of chilling injury include abnormal ripening, peel and flesh discolouration, water-soaking and pitting. Chilling injury can increase the susceptibility of produce to postharvest disease considerably. For example, the incidence of alternaria rot in pawpaw, apple and various vegetable crops is increased by exposure to excessive cold. High temperatures can also increase susceptibility of harvested produce to disease. For example, hot water dipping of mangoes for excessive times and/or temperatures can result in increased levels of stem end rot (caused by *Dothiorella* spp.).

The natural resistance of fruit and vegetables to disease declines with storage duration and ripeness. Weak pathogens which normally require a wound in order to infect can become a problem in produce that has been stored for long periods of time. Treatments which help to maintain the natural 'vitality' of fruit and vegetables aid in delaying the onset of disease in stored produce.

33.5 Traditional strategies for postharvest disease control and prevention

Fungicides

Fungicides are used extensively for postharvest disease control in fruit and vegetables. Timing of application and type of fungicide used depend primarily on the target pathogen and when infection occurs. For postharvest pathogens which infect produce before harvest, field application of fungicides is often necessary. This may involve the repeated application of protectant fungicides during the growing season, and/or strategic application of systemic fungicides. In the control of mango anthracnose (caused by *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*) in Australia, for example, trees are sprayed regularly with a protectant fungicide such as mancozeb during flowering and fruit development. If rain occurs during

flowering, a systemic fungicide is applied to inactivate infections already established and to guard against new infections.

In the postharvest situation, fungicides are often applied to control infections already established in the surface tissues of produce or to protect against infections which may occur during storage and handling. In the case of quiescent field infections present at the time of harvest, fungicides must be able to penetrate to the site of infection to be effective. Systemic fungicides are generally used for this purpose, although how deeply they penetrate when applied in this way is not well documented. In some tropical fruit crops such as mango, the penetration of systemic fungicides is enhanced by applying them as heated dips.

In the case of infections which occur during and after harvest, fungicides can be used to interrupt pathogen development. How successful fungicides are in doing this depends largely on the extent to which infection has developed at the time of fungicide application and how effectively the fungicide penetrates the host tissue. In general, fungicides for the control of wound-invading pathogens should be applied as soon as possible after harvest. If infection is well advanced at the time of postharvest treatment, control will be difficult to achieve. The usual approach with controlling wound pathogens is to maintain a certain concentration of the fungicide at the injury site which will suppress (though not necessarily kill) pathogen development until the wound has healed. In this sense, most of the 'fungicides' which are used postharvest are actually fungistatic rather than fungicidal in their action under normal usage. Disinfectants such as sodium hypochlorite can be used to kill pathogen propagules on the surface of fruit, but are unable to control pathogens once they have gained entry to host tissue.

Postharvest fungicides can be applied as dips, sprays, fumigants, treated wraps and box liners or in waxes and coatings. Dips and sprays are very commonly used and depending on the compound, can take the form of aqueous solutions, suspensions or emulsions. Fungicides commonly applied as dips or sprays include the benzimidazoles (e.g. benomyl and thiabendazole) and the triazoles (e.g. prochloraz and imazalil). The benzimidazole group of fungicides are very useful for the control of many important postharvest pathogens such as *Penicillium* and *Colletotrichum*. Fumigants, such as sulphur dioxide for the control of grey mould (caused by *Botrytis cinerea*) of grape and various postharvest diseases of lychee, are sometimes used for disease control. Other fumigants used in certain situations include carbon dioxide, ozone and ammonia. Fruit wraps or box liners impregnated with the fungicide biphenyl are used in some countries for the control of *Penicillium* in citrus. Fungicides to control postharvest diseases of citrus and some other fruit are often applied to the fruit in wax on the packing line.

Maintenance of host resistance to infection through manipulation of the postharvest environment

The ability to control the postharvest environment provides a tremendous opportunity to delay senescence. Temperature is perhaps the single most important factor influencing disease development after harvest. Temperature not only directly influences the rate of pathogen growth, but also the rate of fruit ripening. The development of many postharvest diseases is closely associated with fruit ripeness, so treatments which delay ripening tend also to delay disease development. Low temperature storage of fruit and vegetables is used extensively to delay ripening and the development of disease, although the temperatures commonly used for storage are not lethal to the pathogen. For this reason, cool-stored produce which is transferred to ambient temperatures for ripening and/or

sale may rapidly breakdown with postharvest disease. Temperatures used to store produce depend largely on the chilling sensitivity of the produce in question. For example, many temperate fruit and vegetables (e.g. apples, peaches and broccoli) can be stored at 0°C, whereas many tropical fruits cannot be stored below 10°C without developing symptoms of chilling injury.

Modifying the storage atmosphere is sometimes used to delay produce senescence. The rate of fruit respiration can be reduced by increasing CO₂ and decreasing O₂ levels in the storage environment. Storage atmosphere can also have a direct effect on pathogen growth, although levels of CO₂ or O₂ required to achieve this are often damaging to the produce if applied for extended periods. A notable exception to this is strawberry, which for extended storage periods can tolerate the high levels of CO₂ (20–30%) required to inhibit the development of grey mould (caused by *Botrytis cinerea*). Short-term exposure to very high levels of CO₂ has shown some potential for delaying the onset of anthracnose (caused by *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*) symptoms in avocado, although the treatment is not currently in commercial use.

The relative humidity of the storage environment can have a major influence on the development of postharvest disease. High humidities are often used to minimise water loss of produce. This however can increase disease levels, particularly if free moisture accumulates in storage containers. The humidity chosen for storing produce is frequently a 'trade-off' between minimising water loss and minimising disease.

Hygiene practices

Maintenance of hygiene at all stages during production and postharvest handling is critical in minimising sources of inoculum for postharvest diseases. To most effectively reduce inoculum, a good knowledge of the life cycle of the pathogen is essential. Sources of inoculum for postharvest diseases depend largely on the pathogen and when infection occurs. In the case of postharvest diseases which arise from preharvest infections, practices which make the crop environment less favourable to pathogens will help reduce the amount of infection which occurs during the growing season. For example in tree crops, pruning and skirting can increase ventilation within the tree canopy, making conditions less favourable for fungi and bacteria. Removal of dead branches and leaves entangled in the tree canopy is also an important way to minimise inoculum build-up. In many diseases, overhead irrigation can encourage pathogen spread and infection, trickle or micro-sprinkler irrigation systems may be more appropriate. As many pathogens are soil-borne, minimising contact of leaves and fruit with the soil is desirable.

Inoculum for infections occurring after harvest commonly originates from the packing shed and storage environments.

- Water used for washing or cooling produce can become contaminated with pathogen propagules if not changed on a regular basis and if a disinfectant such as chlorine is not incorporated. Water temperature can also be an important factor in the transfer of inoculum in some situations. For example, tomatoes harvested during hot weather may have a higher temperature than the water used to wash them. In this scenario, inoculum present in the washing water can be taken in by the fruit tissue, causing higher levels of diseases such as bacterial soft rot.
- Reject produce which has not been discarded from the packing shed or storage environment provides an ideal substrate for postharvest pathogens.

- Packing and grading equipment, particularly brushes and rollers, which is not cleaned and disinfected on a regular basis can also be a major source of inoculum.
- Containers used for storing and transporting fruit can harbour pathogen propagules, particularly if recycled a number of times without proper cleaning.

Preharvest factors

A wide range of preharvest factors influence the development of postharvest disease. These include the weather (rainfall, temperature, etc.), production locality, choice of cultivar, cultural practices (pesticide application, fertilisation, irrigation, planting density, pruning, mulching, fruit bagging, etc.) and planting material. These factors may have a direct influence on the development of disease by reducing inoculum sources or by discouraging infection. Alternatively, they may affect the physiology of the produce in a way that impacts on disease development after harvest. For example, the application of certain nutrients may improve the 'strength' of the fruit skin so that it is less susceptible to injury after harvest and therefore less prone to invasion by wound pathogens.

Prevention of injury

As many postharvest pathogens gain entry through wounds or infect physiologically-damaged tissue, prevention of injury at all stages during production, harvest and postharvest handling is critical. Injuries can be either mechanical (e.g. cuts, bruises and abrasions), chemical (e.g. burns), biological (e.g. insect, bird and rodent damage) or physiological (e.g. chilling injury, heat injury). Injuries can be minimised by careful harvesting and handling of produce, appropriate packaging of produce, controlling insect pests in the field, storing produce at the recommended temperature and applying postharvest treatments correctly.

Where injuries are present, the process of wound healing can be accelerated in some instances through manipulation of the postharvest environment (e.g. temperature and humidity) or by application of certain chemical treatments. Wound healing has been shown to be associated with resistance to certain postharvest diseases such as bacterial soft rot of potatoes caused by *Erwinia* sp.

Heat treatments

Heat treatments applied after harvest can be used to control certain postharvest diseases. Heat works by either killing the pathogen (and/or its propagules) or by suppressing its rate of development following treatment. However, commodities vary greatly in their physiological tolerance of heat treatments. For example, most temperate fruit types are quite susceptible to heat injury, particularly at the temperatures required to achieve disease control. For commodities able to withstand heat treatment, heat can be applied in the form of either hot water or hot air. Hot water is a more efficient medium for heat transfer than hot air, but is also more likely to cause injury to the commodity. Hot water is often used in combination with fungicides to control postharvest diseases in commodities such as mango, pawpaw and rockmelon. Hot air treatments commonly used for fruit fly disinfestation in various harvested commodities can also give some control of postharvest diseases.

Ionising radiation

Ionising radiation is another physical treatment that can be used after harvest to reduce disease in some commodities. Like heat, commodities must be able to tolerate the doses of ionising radiation required to achieve disease control. Some commodities are surprisingly tolerant. For example, strawberries can tolerate the doses of radiation required to effectively control grey mould caused by *Botrytis cinerea*. In other commodities, however, abnormal ripening, tissue softening and off-flavours can result from applying ionising radiation at doses lethal to the target pathogens. Poor consumer acceptability of food irradiation coupled with high treatment costs pose additional limitations to the widespread use of this technology at the present time.

33.6 Emerging technologies for postharvest disease control

Increasing consumer concerns over the presence of pesticide residues in food have prompted the search for non-chemical disease control measures. Fungicides used after harvest are of particular concern because they are applied close to the time of consumption. A number of new approaches to control postharvest diseases are currently under investigation, including biological control, constitutive or induced host resistance and natural fungicides.

Biological control

In recent years, there has been considerable interest in the use of antagonistic microorganisms for the control of postharvest diseases. Such organisms can be isolated from a variety of sources including fermented food products and the surfaces of leaves, fruit and vegetables. Once isolated, organisms (whether they be bacteria, yeasts or filamentous fungi) can be screened in various ways for inhibition of selected pathogens. In most reported cases, pathogen inhibition is greater when the antagonist is applied prior to infection taking place. For this reason, control of quiescent field infections (e.g. *Colletotrichum* spp.) using postharvest applications of antagonists is often more difficult to achieve than control of infections occurring after harvest (e.g. *Penicillium* spp.). Unless an antagonist has eradicator activity or has some effect on host defence responses, field applications are often necessary to achieve control of quiescent infections. In South Africa, both field and postharvest applications of *Bacillus subtilis* and *B. licheniformis* suppress anthracnose (caused by *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*) and stem end rot (caused by *Dothiorella* spp. and other fungi) development in avocado. A number of modes of action are involved in these pathogen-antagonist interactions, including site exclusion, nutrient and space competition and antibiotic production (see Chapter 27 for more details). In Australia however, only field applications of a non-antibiotic producing strain of *Bacillus* sp. have shown potential for the control of anthracnose in avocado.

There are a number of reports in the literature concerning the biological control of wound pathogens in various fruit and vegetables. To be effective against wound pathogens, an antagonist must be able to successfully colonise wound sites to the exclusion of the pathogen. Antagonists which act against postharvest pathogens by competitive inhibition at wound sites include the yeasts *Pichia guilliermondii*, *Cryptococcus laurentii* and *Candida* spp.

While the potential for biological control of postharvest diseases clearly exists, future success relies on the ability to achieve consistent results in the field and after harvest. It will be necessary to enhance the efficacy of biological control agents against postharvest disease and commercialise the technology involved.

Ideally, an antagonist should be effective against a broad spectrum of pathogens on a wide range of fruit and vegetables, it should be unique and be able to be produced on inexpensive growth media. Formulations incorporating such antagonists should have a long shelf-life and be able to be manufactured at low cost. Most antagonists do not satisfy all of these criteria. Many are quite specific in their activity against pathogens and for this reason may not be particularly appealing to prospective investors.

Constitutive and induced host resistance

Plants possess various biochemical and structural defence mechanisms which protect them against infection. Some of these mechanisms are in place before arrival of the pathogen (i.e. constitutive resistance), while others are only activated in response to infection (i.e. induced resistance). Compared to intact plants, relatively little is known about host defence responses in harvested commodities, although there is growing interest in this area. In avocado for example, research in Israel and Sri Lanka is uncovering the biochemical mechanisms associated with host resistance to anthracnose. Preformed antifungal diene compounds present in fungitoxic concentrations in unripe fruit decline to sub-lethal concentrations in ripe fruit allowing the pathogen to develop once fruit ripening commences. Levels of the diene compounds can be increased by applying various treatments such as challenge inoculation with either pathogenic or non-pathogenic strains of *Colletotrichum* or by treatment with certain antioxidants or high concentrations of CO₂.

In contrast to preformed antimicrobial compounds, phytoalexins are only produced in response to pathogen invasion, although in some cases they can be elicited by certain chemical and physical treatments. For example, non-ionising ultraviolet-C radiation is known to induce production of phytoalexins in various crops. UV treatment of carrot slices induces production of 6-methoxymellen which is inhibitory to *Botrytis cinerea* and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. Effects on citrus have also been reported.

Chitosan, which is a natural compound present in the cell wall of many fungi, is another elicitor of host defence responses which is currently being investigated. Chitosan can stimulate a number of processes including production of chitinase, accumulation of phytoalexins and increase in lignification. A wide range of other compounds (e.g. salicylic acid, methyl jasmonate and phosphonates) and treatments (e.g. heat) can induce host defences in harvested commodities.

Natural fungicides

Many compounds produced naturally by microorganisms and plants have fungicidal properties. Chitosan, for example, is not only an elicitor of host defence responses but also has direct fungicidal action against a range of postharvest pathogens. Antibiotics produced by various species of *Trichoderma* have potent antifungal activity against *Botrytis cinerea*, *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, *Corticium rolfsii* and other important plant pathogens. There are many other natural compounds which have been isolated and shown to possess considerable antifungal activity. Although these compounds may be more desirable than synthetic chemicals from a consumer viewpoint, their potential toxicity to humans needs to be evaluated before useable products are developed. On a positive note, the high specificity of many of these compounds also means that they biodegrade readily.

33.7 Conclusions

A wide variety of fungal and bacterial pathogens cause postharvest disease in fruit and vegetables. Some of these infect produce before harvest and then remain quiescent until conditions are more favourable for disease development after harvest. Other pathogens infect produce during and after harvest through surface injuries. In the development of strategies for postharvest disease control, it is imperative to take a step back and consider the production and postharvest handling systems in their entirety. Many preharvest factors directly and indirectly influence the development of postharvest disease, even in the case of infections initiated after harvest. Traditionally fungicides have played a central role in postharvest disease control. However, trends towards reduced chemical usage in horticulture are forcing the development of new strategies. This provides an exciting challenge for the 21st century.

33.8 Further reading

- Australian United Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Association Ltd. (1989). *Fresh produce manual: handling and storage practices for fresh produce*. Australian United Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Association Ltd, Footscray, Victoria.
- Beattie, B.B., McGlasson, W.B. and Wade, N.L. (1989). *Postharvest diseases of horticultural produce, Volume 1: Temperate Fruit*. CSIRO, Melbourne.
- Champ, B.R., Highley, E. and Johnson, G.I. (1984). *Postharvest handling of tropical fruits*. Proceedings of an international conference, Chiang Mai, Thailand, 19–23 July 1993, Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, Canberra, ACT.
- Coates, L., Cooke, A., Persley, D., Beattie, B., Wade, N. and Ridgeway, R. (1995). *Postharvest diseases of horticultural produce, Volume 2: Tropical Fruit*. DPI, Queensland.
- Kader, A. (1982). *Postharvest technology of horticultural crops*. Publication 3311, University of California, Davis, California.
- Snowden, A.L. (1990, 1991). *A colour atlas of post-harvest diseases and disorders of fruits and vegetables, Volume 1 General introduction and fruits, Volume 2 Vegetables*. Wolfe Scientific, London.
- Wills, R.B.H., McGlasson, W.B., Graham, D., Lee, T.H. and Hall, E.G. (1989). *Postharvest: an introduction to the physiology and handling of fruit and vegetables*, 3rd edition. University of New South Wales Press, Sydney.

